UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF MICHIGAN

United States of America	ORDER OF DETENTION PENDING TRIAL		
v. Benjamin Everett Bragg	Case No. 1:18-cr-00062-RJJ		
Defendant			
After conducting a detention hearing under the Bail Reformation that the defendant be detained pending trial.	orm Act, 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f), I conclude that these facts require		
Part I – Fin	ndings of Fact		
(1) The defendant is charged with an offense described in 18 U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1) and has previously been convicted of a federal offense a state or local offense that would have been a federal offense if federal jurisdiction had existed – that is			
a crime of violence as defined in 18 U.S.C. § 31 which the prison term is 10 years or more.	156(a)(4), or an offense listed in 18 U.S.C. § 2332b(g)(5)(B) for		
an offense for which the maximum sentence is	death or life imprisonment.		
an offense for which a maximum prison term of	ten years or more is prescribed in: .*		
a felony committed after the defendant had bee U.S.C. § 3142(f)(1)(A)-(C), or comparable state	n convicted of two or more prior federal offenses described in 18 or local offenses.		
any felony that is not a crime of violence but inv a minor victim	olves:		
	or destructive device or any other dangerous weapon . § 2250		
(2) The offense described in finding (1) was committed who or local offense.	hile the defendant was on release pending trial for a federal, state		
(3) A period of less than 5 years has elapsed since the _ offense described in finding (1).	date of conviction defendant's release from prison for the		
(4) Findings (1), (2) and (3) establish a rebuttable presum person or the community. I further find that defendant	nption that no condition will reasonably assure the safety of another thas not rebutted that presumption.		
•	e Findings (A)		
(1) There is probable cause to believe that the defendant	has committed an offense		
for which a maximum prison term of ten years o Controlled Substances Act (21 U.S.C. 801 et se			
under 18 U.S.C. § 924(c).			
will reasonably assure the defendant's appearance ar			
	e Findings (B)		
 (1) There is a serious risk that the defendant will not apped (2) There is a serious risk that the defendant will endanged 			
 , ,	the Reasons for Detention		
	e detention hearing establishes by <u>√</u> clear and convincing		
evidence a preponderance of the evidence that:	y determinent meaning established by stoar and convincing		
Defendant has a significant criminal history.			
 Defendant has a history of substance abuse. Defendant has a history involving domestic abuse. 			
Defendant has a record of failure to appear and absconding	from supervision.		
5. Defendant has engaged in criminal activity while under supe	·		
6. Defendant has a pattern of similar criminal activity.			
Part III – Directions	s Regarding Detention		

The defendant is committed to the custody of the Attorney General or a designated representative for confinement in a corrections facility separate, to the extent practicable, from persons awaiting or serving sentences or held in custody pending appeal. The defendant must be afforded a reasonable opportunity to consult privately with defense counsel. On order of United States Court or on request of an attorney for the Government, the person in charge of the corrections facility must deliver the defendant to the United States marshal for a court appearance.

Date:	March 20, 2018	Judge's Signature: _	/s/ Ellen S. Carmody
·		Name and Title:	Ellen S. Carmody, U.S. Magistrate Judge